

NSA 2008-2009 Safety Assessment Comparison Reviews - Initial Response





Chart 1

NSA 2008-2009 Safety Assessment Comparison Reviews - Identifying Present Danger

■ Round 1 (n=75)
 ■ Round 2 (n=20)
 ■ Round 3 (n=25)



NSA 2008-2009 Safety Assessment Comparison Reviews - Protective Action

■ Round 1 (n=75)
 ■ Round 2 (n=20)
 ■ Round 3 (n=25)






Chart 3

NSA 2008-2009 Safety Assessment Comparison Reviews - Impending Danger



NSA 2008-2009 Safety Assessment Comparison Reviews - Domains and Additional Information



NSA 2008-2009 Safety Assessment Comparison Reviews - Safety Evaluation





Chart 6

NSA 2008-2009 Safety Assessment Comparison Reviews - Safety Plans

Round 1; 13 assessments identified impending danger, however, **14** safety plans were established.
Round 2 & 3; 8 assessment identified impending danger and **8** safety plans were established.



Safety QA Tool - Questions

Note: **These questions use a reverse scale (LOWER NUMBER IS BETTER) as we want workers to utilize the correct safety plan.

NSA 2008-2009 Safety Assessment Comparison Reviews - Safety Plans

Round 1; 13 assessments identified impending danger, however, 14 safety plans were established.
Round 2 & 3; 8 assessment identified impending danger and 8 safety plans were established.





Chart 8

NSA 2008-2009 Safety Assessment Comparison Reviews - Safety Plans

Round 1; 13 assessments identified impending danger, however, 14 safety plans were established.
Round 2 & 3; 8 assessment identified impending danger and 8 safety plans were established.

■ Round 1 (n=75)
■ Round 2 (n=20)
■ Round 3 (n=25)



Note: **These questions use a reverse scale (LOWER NUMBER IS BETTER)

Chart 9

Reviewer's Overall Analysis and Conclusion of the Work:

For the purpose of a case review, the reviewer assessed the following information based on their review of the Supervisory Review of Nebraska Safety Assessment.

Category	NSA - 1	NSA - 2
The Nebraska Safety Assessment Instrument was completed correctly and completely	29%	20%
Documentation is on N-FOCUS	100%	100%
Required Time Frames were met	84%	80%
A reasonable level of effort was expended given the identified safety concerns.	76%	70%
Safety of the child/youth was assured during the assessment process.	93%	80%
Sufficient information was gathered for informed decision making	60%	47%
Available written documentation was obtained from law enforcement/others as approp.	73%	100%
ICWA information was documented	64%	70%
Information was obtained about non-custodial parent, relatives, and other family support.	53%	50%
An Immediate Protective Action was appropriately implemented to assure child safety.	90%	0%
A Safety Plan was appropriately completed and implemented to assure child safety.	44%	38%
A Safety Assessment was documented in accordance with required practice.	39%	30%
A Protective Action was documented in accordance with required practice.	50%	0%
A Safety Plan was documented in accordance with required practice.	38%	13%
The family network and others were appropriately involved in the gathering of information.	60%	65%
The family networks and others were appropriately involved in developing Safety Plans.	71%	75%
Policy and procedures related to safety intervention were followed.	61%	65%
Safety plan is sufficient to protect child from threats of severe harm.	59%	25%
Efforts to coordinate with law enforcement were documented.	83%	100%
Interview protocols were followed or reason for deviation were documented.	69%	40%
The appropriate definition was used in making the case status determination.	83%	95%
The finding was correctly documented in N-FOCUS	99%	100%
Factual information supports the selected finding.	86%	95%
time)	N/A	N/A

